

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/619,404	RHYNEER, GEORGE S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Boswell	3676	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher Boswell.

(2) Michael Tavella.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 14, and 16

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the Applicant concerning an examiner's amendment to put application in better form for allowance. The objections of concern were the use of the word "it" in the specification page 5, line 21, "said cabinet door" in claim 1, line 14, "the plate" in page 14, line 1, and "the means for overcoming the attractive force" in claim 16, line 1..